

China's Economic Retaliation Against Norway After Liu Xiaobo's 2010 Nobel Peace Prize

Ian Murphy,¹  Shaomin Li¹ 

¹ Old Dominion University

Abstract

This case study analyzes China's geoeconomic retaliation against Norway after the 2010 Nobel Peace Prize was awarded to Liu Xiaobo. China employed non-tariff barriers, diplomatic freezes, and restricted exchanges, severely impacting sectors like salmon exports. This occurred despite the Nobel Committee's independence from the Norwegian government. Although Norway's overall economic impact was mitigated by market adjustments, the six-year diplomatic freeze significantly influenced its human rights foreign policy. This case exemplifies China's strategic use of informal economic tools to punish and deter, showcasing the link between political sensitivities and economic leverage in international relations.

Keywords: Economic coercion, informal sanctions, non-tariff barriers, geoeconomics, diplomatic coercion, salmon trade, market diversification, Liu Xiaobo, China, Norway

JEL Classification: F51, F13, F14, F50

■ **Corresponding author:** Ian Murphy, Old Dominion University, 1 Old Dominion University, Norfolk, VA 23529, United States. Email: iansergeimurphy@gmail.com

Published: 25 May 2026

© The Author(s) 2026 | Published under license by GeoEconomics Press

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. It may be used, shared, distributed, and reproduced in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The license deed is available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>

Disclaimer: The views expressed are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of their affiliated institutions, the Journal of GeoEconomics, or GeoEconomics Press. Affiliations are provided for scholarly identification.

1. Context and Actors

The current geopolitical setting is characterized by the rising global influence of China (population 1.4 billion), an authoritarian one-party state governed by the Chinese Communist Party, and its sensitivity to perceived challenges to its sovereignty and internal stability, particularly concerning human rights. Economically, China leverages its vast market and trade relationships to achieve its political objectives. Norway, a much smaller democratic state (population 5.5 million), found itself subjected to Chinese economic and diplomatic coercion when an independent institution within its borders took a position unfavorable to the Chinese Communist Party.

The trigger event was the Norwegian Nobel Prize Committee’s announcement on October 8, 2010, that it would award the Nobel Peace Prize to Liu Xiaobo. Liu was an imprisoned Chinese human rights activist recognized for his “long and non-violent struggle for fundamental human rights in China” (The Nobel Foundation, 2025). The award ceremony made an indelible imprint on the world by staging an empty chair, symbolizing China’s imprisonment of Liu. Liu later died of liver cancer in prison in 2017 at age 61, making him the second Nobel Peace Prize laureate to die in custody (the first was Carl von Ossietzky who died in a Nazi concentration camp). China reacted angrily and severely to the Committee’s decision, viewing it as an affront to China’s judicial system and thus subjected Norway to years-long economic and diplomatic coercion as a result.

TABLE 1. Key Actors in the China–Norway Nobel Peace Prize Dispute

Actor Name	Actor Type	Role	Position / Action Taken
Norwegian Nobel Committee	Independent body (non-state actor)	Awarding the Nobel Peace Prize.	On October 8, 2010, announced decision to award Nobel Peace Prize to Liu Xiaobo, citing his "long and non-violent struggle for fundamental human rights in China." Grounded decision in link between human rights and peace; December ceremony featured empty chair. (The Nobel Foundation, 2025)
Liu Xiaobo (1955–2017)	Individual (non-state actor)	Imprisoned Chinese human rights activist, intellectual, key figure in 1989 Tiananmen Square protests, co-author of Charter 08.	Serving an 11-year prison sentence for "inciting subversion of state power" at time of award. Remained committed to political reforms and human rights despite imprisonment and censorship. (The Nobel Foundation, 2025)

TABLE 1. *Continued*

Actor Name	Actor Type	Role	Position / Action Taken
Chinese Government	State actor	Governing body of China, an authoritarian one-party state.	Summoned Norwegian ambassador, publicly declared award "desecrated the prize," implemented widespread censorship. Froze political/economic relations, imposed indirect sanctions on Norwegian products, limited diplomatic interactions. Portrayed Liu Xiaobo as a criminal. Comprehensive freeze on high-level bilateral political meetings, suspended FTA talks, restricted scientific/artistic exchanges, made visa acquisition difficult for business travelers. Approved Norway's decision not to meet Dalai Lama in 2014. (Kolstad 2020)
Ma Zhaoxu	Individual (Chinese Foreign Ministry spokesperson)	Spokesperson for the Chinese Foreign Ministry.	Publicly declared that the Nobel Peace Prize award "desecrated the prize". (Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the PRC, 2025)
Norwegian Government	State actor	Governing body of Norway, a democratic state.	Held responsible by China for the award despite Nobel Committee's independence. Decided not to meet Dalai Lama in 2014 (perceived concession). Issued joint declaration with China in Dec 2016, explicitly reiterating commitment to "One-China Policy," respecting China's sovereignty/territorial integrity, attaching high importance to China's core interests. Consistently insisted on Nobel Committee's independence. Revoked permission for China to construct Arctic radar facility in Svalbard after sanctions.
Norwegian Salmon Industry	Industry sector	Producer and exporter of salmon.	Faced the most direct and severe economic impact. The indirect sanctions reduced direct fish exports to China between USD 125 million and USD 176 million from 2011 to 2013. Lost dominant market share. Mitigated impact by increasing exports to other markets and transshipping via Vietnam. (Garcia and Nguyen, 2023)

TABLE 1. *Continued*

Actor Name	Actor Type	Role	Position / Action Taken
Norwegian Fisheries Minister	Individual (Norwegian government official)	Minister responsible for fisheries.	Planned meeting with Chinese food control authorities cancelled due to diplomatic freeze. (Watts and Weaver, 2010)
Norwegian Universities and Research Institutes	Academic institutions	Engaged in scientific collaboration.	Direct contacts with Chinese counterparts became sporadic; Sino-Norwegian scientific collaboration significantly slowed.
Dalai Lama	Individual (Tibetan spiritual leader who fled communist China in 1959 and in exile in India)	Visitor to Norway.	Norwegian government decided not to meet him during his 2014 visit, a move approved by China and widely perceived as a concession. Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People’s Republic of China (n.d.)
Norwegian Foreign Minister Børge Brende	Individual (Norwegian government official)	Foreign Minister of Norway.	Visited Beijing in December 2016, leading to joint declaration normalizing bilateral relations. Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People’s Republic of China (n.d.)

Note: Actor categories are simplified for analytical purposes. The table identifies principal actors relevant to the Norway–China Nobel Peace Prize dispute and summarizes their roles, positions, and actions as they relate to the case; it is not intended as an exhaustive institutional mapping.

Source: Authors’ compilation based on the sources cited in the article.

TABLE 2. Timeline of China’s Economic and Diplomatic Pressure on Norway, 2010–2016

Date	Actor	Brief Description of Event	Source
October 8, 2010	Nobel Prize Committee	Norwegian Nobel Committee announces Liu Xiaobo as the recipient of the Nobel Peace Prize.	The Nobel Foundation (2025)
October 8, 2010	PRC	Chinese media implements widespread censorship of news related to Liu Xiaobo and the Peace Prize.	The Nobel Foundation (2025)
December 10, 2010	Nobel Prize Committee	Nobel Peace Prize ceremony was held in Oslo with an empty chair representing Liu Xiaobo.	The Nobel Foundation (2025)
October 2010	PRC	China placed Liu Xiaobo’s wife, Liu Xia, under house arrest and restricted her phone use following the Nobel Prize award. This act is speculated to be aimed at stifling celebration following the award.	Watts and Weaver (2010)

TABLE 2. *Continued*

Date	Actor	Brief Description of Event	Source
October 2010	PRC	China cancels planned meetings with Norwegian fisheries minister. Fisheries Minister Lisbeth Berg-Hansen was in China when the October 13, 2010, meeting with a Chinese vice minister of agriculture was cancelled.	Watts and Weaver (2010); Radio Free Europe (2010)
November 1, 2010	PRC, Ambassador	Chinese Ambassador to Norway, Tang Guoqiang, writes an op-ed that represents the views of the Embassy of China in China on Liu Xiaobo's Nobel Peace Prize.	Tang Guoqiang (2010)
November 2010	PRC	China postpones bilateral free trade talks with Norway indefinitely.	Garcia and Nguyen (2023)
December 2010	PRC	China implements new, stricter testing and quarantine procedures for fresh Norwegian aquacultural products, particularly salmon. Reduction attributed to discriminatory inspection, quarantine, and import licensing, not to popular consumer boycott.	Garcia and Nguyen (2023)
October 2010	PRC	China cancels performances of a planned Norwegian musical as punishment for Nobel Prize award to Liu Xiaobo. The performance <i>Some Sunny Night</i> was set to take place in November in Beijing and Wuhan.	Epress.am (2010); Bekheet (2010)
2011–2013	Bilateral	Direct exports of Norwegian fish to China significantly reduced (by USD 125–176 million), and total exports by USD 780–1300 million, due to sanctions.	Kolstad (2020)
2011– 2016	Bilateral	Diplomatic relations remain frozen; high-level bilateral meetings, scientific, and artistic exchanges are informally restricted.	Kolstad (2020)
2014	Norwegian government	Norway's government decides not to meet the Dalai Lama during his visit to Norway, a move approved by China.	Kolstad (2020)
2014	Bilateral	By this year, overall Norwegian exports to China rebound to normal levels, despite continued diplomatic freeze.	Kolstad (2020)
2014	Norwegian government	Norwegian government cancels plan to construct EISCAT radar with China on Svalbard, citing military applications.	Mogard (2014)

TABLE 2. *Continued*

Date	Actor	Brief Description of Event	Source
January 2015	Norwegian government	Norwegian police ordered a Chinese doctoral student at the University of Agder to leave Norway by January 23, 2015, citing national security concerns.	Wee and Campbell (2015)
February 2015	PRC	China's Foreign Ministry spokesperson Hong Lei rebukes Norway for expelling a Chinese doctoral student working at the University of Agder.	Xinhua (2015)
December 19, 2016	Bilateral	Norwegian Foreign Minister Børge Brende visits Beijing; China and Norway issue a joint declaration normalizing bilateral relations.	Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China (n.d.)
December 2016	Bilateral	Talks on a free trade agreement between Norway and China resume.	Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China (n.d.)

Note: This table provides a chronological overview of significant events and actions taken by various actors, illustrating the progression of China's economic and diplomatic coercion against Norway from October 2010 through December 2016.

Source: Authors' compilation based on the sources cited in the article.

2. Instruments and Mechanisms Used

China's economic coercion against Norway following the 2010 Nobel Peace Prize award to Liu Xiaobo involved a calculated deployment of informal economic tools that avoided direct challenges under international trade law. The primary economic tool was the imposition of non-tariff barriers, specifically extended quarantine procedures for Norwegian salmon through stricter testing, inspection, and time-consuming procedures, that were publicly justified by citing alleged food safety concerns. This regulatory disguise provided China with plausible deniability, making formal challenges, such as through the WTO, exceedingly difficult.

Beyond trade, China implemented a comprehensive and informal freeze on high-level bilateral political meetings, indefinitely suspending negotiations for a free trade agreement, and informally restricting scientific and artistic exchanges, as seen with the cancellation of a Norwegian musical performance and slowdown in scientific cooperation. These actions were carried out without formal declarations or specific new legislation, instead relying on administrative directives or unwritten policies to exert pressure on Norway. The absence of formal complaints or legal proceedings under international frameworks like the WTO underscores China's strategy of ambiguous

and deniable coercion, which maximizes political leverage while minimizing direct accountability.

3. Responses and Outcomes

The Norwegian government's initial response to China's economic coercion was to uphold the independence of the Nobel Committee. However, under sustained pressure, Norway eventually made significant concessions. While the salmon industry faced immediate and severe economic repercussions, Norwegian exporters mitigated the overall impact through market adjustments and transshipment via countries like Vietnam.

More broadly, the six-year diplomatic freeze exerted a profound influence on Norway's foreign policy, evident in its increased alignment with China on UN human rights resolutions and its decision not to meet the Dalai Lama in 2014 (Kolstad 2020), a move widely perceived as an attempt to appease Beijing. The comprehensive nature of China's pressure also led to the revocation of an Arctic radar agreement by Norway.

The Dalai Lama's visit to Norway sparked a significant domestic political debate that shows the ongoing tension between the nation's core liberal-democratic principles and the pragmatic demands of geopolitics and economic security. The Conservative government coalition, led by Prime Minister Erna Solberg and Foreign Minister Børge Brende, made a strategic decision that no official representatives from the government or Stortinget (the parliament) would meet with the Dalai Lama (Sutterud and Ulven, 2014). The government's official justification for this action was pragmatic, arguing that a meeting would have prolonged the diplomatic stalemate and made it impossible to restore dialogue with China. The eventual normalization of relations in 2016 suggests that this pragmatic strategy was effective.

The decision not to allow government representatives to meet with the Dalai Lama caused a fierce domestic debate. Critics from the opposition and civil society, such as Amnesty International, accused the government of sacrificing fundamental Norwegian values for economic gain and for "kneeling" to a "diplomatic school bully" (Egenæs, 2014). A representative from the Venstre (Liberal) Party, Ketil Kjenseth, stated that his intentions to invite the Dalai Lama to the Storting (parliament) and that Norway should not prioritize money over human rights (Venstre, 2014).

This debate exposed the dichotomy between a value-based foreign policy and a realpolitik approach. Those who defended the government's position, like political scientist Tor Georg Jakobsen, argued that as a "small country," Norway was "bound hand and foot" in such matters. He stated that only a nation with a "significant position of power", such as the United States, could afford to meet with the Dalai Lama without severe economic consequences – and that even old superpowers like Britain do not have enough power to meet the Dalai Lama (Midling, 2014). Opponents, on the other

hand, focused on the moral imperative. They believed the government’s decision set a dangerous precedent, signaling to great powers that economic pressure could undermine Norway’s commitment to human rights.

Ultimately, the normalization of relations in December 2016 necessitated Norway’s explicit public commitment to the One-China Policy and its acknowledgement of China’s core interests, signaling a reorientation in its diplomatic posture. This case illustrates how China is constraining the policy autonomy of smaller states through economic pressure, contributing to a reduction in willingness by other states to engage in visible human rights advocacy and establishing a precedent for how other nations might approach issues deemed to be sensitive by Beijing.

TABLE 3. Observed Impacts

Indicator	Observed Impact	Source
Norwegian fresh/chilled salmon exports to China (volume/value)	2000–2010, Norway dominated the Chinese fresh/chilled salmon market, often exceeding 80% of the total share. However, 2011 to 2016, Norway’s share fell to as low as 25%. Between 2011–2013, Norwegian seafood exports to China declined by an estimated USD 125 to USD 176 million.	Chen and Garcia (2016); Kolstad (2020)
Total Norwegian exports to China	Broadly, Norway’s total exports to China were between USD 780 million and USD 1.3 billion lower than they would have been without the dispute. Another analysis using a trade gravity model estimated a cumulative loss of approximately USD 5.5 billion by 2016 for Norway’s overall exports to China – 21% below expected levels.	Wilcox (2025)
Norwegian salmon exports to Vietnam (volume/value)	From 2010 to 2011, Vietnam’s imports of Norwegian salmon increased 13-fold, rising from around 600 tons to 8,000 tons. This surge coincided with China’s unannounced trade sanction on Norwegian salmon.	Garcia and Nguyen (2023)
Overall Norwegian imports from China	Not significantly affected between 2011–2016.	Kolstad (2020)

Note: Indicators are selected for analytical purposes. The table summarizes observable economic effects associated with China’s pressure campaign against Norway, with particular attention to salmon exports, trade diversion, and aggregate trade exposure; it is not intended as an exhaustive impact assessment. Not all economic or diplomatic effects can be measured in monetary terms, and some measures are difficult to link definitively to retaliation.

Source: Authors’ compilation based on the sources cited in the table.

4. Wider Analytical Insights

The dispute with Norway shares key characteristics with other episodes of Chinese economic coercion, such as those against South Korea and Australia. In all three cases, China's actions were a response to perceived affronts to its national interests, demonstrating a consistent pattern of using economic tools to achieve political objectives.

A recurring feature of China's strategy is the use of informal, unannounced measures that inflict economic pain while avoiding formal declarations or legal challenges under international frameworks like the WTO. This strategy of plausible deniability was evident in all three cases. In Norway, China implemented unstated restrictions on salmon imports. Against South Korea, it imposed an informal ban on group tours and cultural products following the deployment of the THAAD missile defense system in 2016-2017 (Meick and Salidjanova, 2017). Similarly, in the dispute with Australia over its 2020 call for COVID-19 inquiry, Beijing relied on non-tariff barriers and sudden inspections on a range of Australian exports, including barley and wine (Wong et al., 2024; Zhou and Laurenceson, 2024). This approach makes it difficult for a targeted country to build an international legal case and rally support, as the punitive actions are disguised as legitimate administrative or regulatory concerns.

Chinese economic coercion is consistently highly targeted, focusing on symbolic or economically vulnerable industries to maximize political pressure while minimizing disruption to its own economy. The goal is to inflict calibrated pain on specific sectors and businesses, thereby creating domestic political pressure on the targeted government to make political concessions. This strategy was particularly effective in the Norwegian case, where the prolonged diplomatic freeze and targeted sanctions created significant domestic pressure for a political resolution despite the relatively minor macroeconomic impact.

China's coercive strategy is a form of linkage politics, where economic and scientific cooperation is implicitly tied to political alignment. The apparent objective is to induce the target state to align its policies with China's core interests. Norway made substantial political concessions, including publicly reiterating its commitment to the One-China Policy and pledging not to meet the Dalai Lama, in exchange for normalizing relations. This outcome suggests that China's asymmetric pressure strategy can be effective where smaller states face limited collective support and sector-specific economic exposure, creating incentives for policy accommodation that may constrain independent foreign-policy choices.

With this case comparison in mind, it is revealed that China's strategy is more likely to succeed when the target state is highly dependent on a specific Chinese market or product for which there are few alternative buyers. The psychological and political impact of a prolonged diplomatic freeze, as seen in the Norway case, can be a powerful tool, creating strong internal pressure for a resolution. The lack of a unified, collective

response from other nations enables this strategy. The lack of economic and diplomatic support from other democracies to Norway sets a troubling precedent, suggesting that smaller states are on their own. This forces them to choose between their values and economic needs, which may encourage China to exert further pressure on the sovereignty of smaller nations.

The strategy of economic coercion is less successful when the targeted country can easily find alternative markets, as in the case of Australia (Edmonstone, 2024), or when the affected industry is not a major component of its overall exports. The Australian case is a prime example of this, where many sectors were able to find new buyers, mitigating the overall economic blow. Moreover, coercion can backfire when the public in the targeted country perceives it as a violation of their sovereignty and core values. In such instances, it can lead to a hardening of public opinion against China and encourage a long-term strategy of de-risking and market diversification, which ultimately undermines China's long-term economic leverage.

China's economic coercion against Norway and its parallels with coercion against South Korea and Australia reveal a successful and repeatable strategy. By leveraging informal economic and diplomatic tools with plausible deniability, China can inflict targeted pain on a country's vulnerable sectors to secure political concessions without risking formal international legal challenges. This approach is most effective when the target state has limited economic alternatives and receives no collective support from other democracies. The outcome for Norway – substantially altering its political stance in exchange for normalized relations – sets a troubling precedent. It demonstrates that China can leverage its economic power to constrain the policy autonomy of smaller states and establish a norm where nations may be less willing to engage in human rights advocacy for fear of economic retaliation.

REFERENCES

- Bekheet, Diaa. "China Snubs Norway Again in Nobel Prize Dispute." *Voice of America*, October 11, 2010. <https://www.voanews.com/a/china-snubs-norway-again-in-nobel-prize-dispute-104783919/166480.html>.
- Chen, Xianwen, and Roberto Javier Garcia. 2016. "Economic Sanctions and Trade Diplomacy: Sanction-Busting Strategies, Market Distortion and Efficacy of China's Restrictions on Norwegian Salmon Imports." *China Information* 30, no. 1: 29-57. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0920203X15625061>.
- Edmonstone, Georgia. "China's trade restrictions on Australian exports." Explainer, *United States Studies Centre*, April 2, 2024. <https://www.usssc.edu.au/chinas-trade-restrictions-on-australian-exports>.
- Egenæs, John Peder. "Derfor bør Børge Brende møte Dalai Lama (Therefore, Børge Brende Should Meet the Dalai Lama)." *Amnesty International Norge*, April 29, 2014. <https://amnesty.no/derfor-bor-borge-brende-mote-dalai-lama>.

- Epress.am. "China Cancels Eurovision Winner's Concert over Liu's Nobel." 2010. *Express.am*. <https://epress.am/en/2010/10/13/china-cancels-eurovision-winners-concert-over-lius-nobel.html#:~:text=%E2%80%9CAccording%20to%20what%20they've,Norwegian%20government%20and%20the%20house>.
- Garcia, Roberto J., and Thi Ngan Giang Nguyen. 2023. "Market Integration through Smuggling: China's Sanction on Norwegian Salmon." *Journal of Economic Integration* 38, no. 1 (March): 93-114. <https://doi.org/10.11130/jei.2023.38.1.93>.
- Kolstad, Ivar. 2020. "Too Big to Fault? Effects of the 2010 Nobel Peace Prize on Norwegian Exports to China and Foreign Policy." *International Political Science Review* 41, no. 2: 207-223. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0192512118808610>.
- Meick, Ethan, and Nargiza Salidjanova. *China's Response to U.S.-South Korean Missile Defense System Deployment and its Implications*. Staff Research Report. Washington, DC: U.S.-China Economic and Security Review Commission, July 26, 2017.
- Midling, Anne Sliper. "Forståelig at de ikke møter Dalai Lama (Understandable that They Do Not Meet with the Dalai Lama)." *Gemini.no*, May 9, 2014. <https://gemini.no/2014/05/forstaelig-at-de-ikke-moter-dalai-lama/>.
- Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China. n.d. "China and Norway." Accessed July 18, 2025. https://www.fmprc.gov.cn/eng/gjhdq_665435/3265_665445/3346_664700/.
- Mogard, Lars Egil. 2014. "Norsk nei til Kina-radar på Svalbard [Norwegian No to China Radar on Svalbard]." *NRK*. <https://www.nrk.no/tromsogfinnmark/nekter-kina-radar-pa-svalbard-1.11927625>.
- Radio Free Europe. "China Cancels Norway Meeting After Nobel Peace Award." *Radio Free Europe*. October 11, 2010. https://www.rferl.org/a/China_Cancels_Meeting_With_China_After_Nobel_Peace_Award/2186999.html.
- Sutterud, Tone, and Elisabeth Ulven. "Norway criticised over snub to Dalai Lama during Nobel committee visit." *The Guardian*, May 6, 2014. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2014/may/06/norway-snub-dalai-lama-nobel-visit>.
- Tang, Guoqiang. "Why China Opposes Liu's Nobel Prize." *NewsInEnglish.no*, November 1, 2010. <https://www.newsinenglish.no/2010/11/01/why-china-opposes-a-nobel-to-liu/>.
- The Nobel Foundation. 2025. "Liu Xiaobo – Facts." *NobelPrize.org*. Accessed July 19, 2025. <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/peace/2010/xiaobo/facts/>.
- The Nobel Foundation. 2025. "Liu Xiaobo – Speed read." *Nobel Prize Outreach*. Accessed July 18, 2025. <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/peace/2010/xiaobo/speedread/>.
- Venstre. "Vil invitere Dalai Lama til Stortinget (Will Invite the Dalai Lama to the Storting)." *Venstre*, March 13, 2014. <https://www.venstre.no/artikkel/2014/03/13/vil-invitere-dalai-lama-til-stortinget/>.

- Watts, Jonathan, and Matthew Weaver. "China Cancels Meeting with Norwegian Minister after Nobel Peace Prize Row." *The Guardian*, October 11, 2010. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2010/oct/11/china-cancels-norway-meeting#:~:text=China%20cancels%20meeting%20with%20Norwegian,prize%20row%20%7C%20China%20%7C%20The%20Guardian>.
- Watts, Jonathan, and Matthew Weaver. 2010. "China Cancels Meeting with Norwegian Minister After Nobel Peace Prize Row." *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2010/oct/11/china-cancels-norway-meeting#:~:text=%22She's%20probably%20at%20home%20with,in%20Europe%20later%20this%20year>.
- Wee, Sui-Lee, and Joseph Campbell. 2015. "China Rebukes Norway for Expelling Scholar." *Reuters*. <https://www.reuters.com/article/idUSKBN0L8053/>.
- Wilcox, Matthew. 2025. "China Used Salmon to Punish Norway: Is the UK's Energy Industry Walking into the Same Trap?" *SalmonBusiness*. <https://www.salmonbusiness.com/china-used-salmon-to-punish-norway-is-the-uks-energy-industry-walking-into-the-same-trap/>.
- Wong, Hon Penny, Anthony Albanese, Don Farrell, and Murray Watt. "Resolution of wine dispute with China." Joint media release with Prime Minister Anthony Albanese, Minister for Trade and Tourism Don Farrell, and Minister for Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry Murray Watt. Canberra: *Minister for Foreign Affairs*, March 28, 2024. <https://www.foreignminister.gov.au/minister/penny-wong/media-release/resolution-wine-dispute-china>.
- Xinhua. 2015. "China Says Norway's Accusation Against Expelled Scholar 'Groundless'." *Xinhua*. <https://en.people.cn/n/2015/0204/c90883-8846080.html>.
- Zhou, Weihuan, and James Laurenceson. "China has finally removed crushing tariffs on Australian wine. But re-establishing ourselves in the market won't be easy." *UNSW Newsroom*, April 15, 2024. <https://www.unsw.edu.au/newsroom/news/2024/04/china-finally-removed-crushing-tariffs-Australian-wine>.